-Risk Assessment Tool

For internal UNDP use

This template permits the collection of information on potential partner private sector entities, helps to evaluate whether or not UNDP should pursue a partnership with the private sector entity¹, and determines which cases might need to be escalated to HQ.

This template contains the practical steps to be followed to reach a decision on a given partnership. It should be used in conjunction with the "UNDP Policy on Due Diligence and Partnerships with the Private Sector 2013" and "Risk Assessment Tool Guideline" which explains each of the steps in more detail. This risk Assessment is a mandatory requirement for any type of partnership between UNDP and a private sector entity. Special attention should be paid to complete it well in advance of the planned partnership.

Content of this document:

- Collate background information.
- Step 1: Assess the Private sector entity against UNDP Exclusionary Criteria.
- Step 2: Research Potential Controversies.
- Step 3: Assess the Private sector entity Commitment to ESG and the Partnership Risks and Benefits.
- Step 4: Make a Decision.
- Step 5: Monitor and Prepare Communication Materials.

Collate Background Information

Drivete eseter entity information	
Private sector entity information	
Name of the private sector entity:	DT Global IDEV Europe S.L.
Contact details of UNDP's main contact(s) at the private sector	María Garrón Carrillo de Albornoz
entity:	maria.garron@dt.global.com
	(+34) 91-096-2100
Sector:	Consulting Services
Private sector entity description / background:	DT Global is an international private sector development company funded 50 years ago (ex- AECOM International Development Company), it provides an early stage crisis and stabilization assistance through economic development, governance, and environmental work. DT Global provides support to communities along the development spectrum, delivering solutions across five principal development areas: economic growth, environment & infrastructure, human development, governance, stabilization and transition. It offers technical assistance and on-the-ground support in

¹ The <u>UNDP Policy On Due Diligence And Partnerships With The Private Sector (2013)</u> defines the private sector as:

a) For-profit and commercial enterprises of any size

b) Corporate foundations (Corporate foundations are independent grant-making organizations that have close ties to the corporation providing funds. Some companies have corporate direct giving programmes instead of foundations; some have both. Examples of such foundations are the Coca-Cola Foundation and the Nike Foundation)

c) Business associations, coalitions and alliances (including e.g. chambers of commerce, employers' associations, cooperatives, industry and cross-industry initiatives where the participants are for-profit enterprises). These organizations will be assessed on their own merits, rather than on the merits of its members. (For example, UNDP will not exclude working with a chamber of commerce because it may have a company from an excluded sector among its members. However, if the chamber or association itself is involved in promotion of an excluded sector, then UNDP will not engage with them) d) State owned enterprises.

	more than 91 countries, DT Global conducts on-the-ground research and utilizes a proprietary methodology to ensure their projects and programs are constantly adapting in the face of shifting realities and complex challenges.
Controlling private sector entity and subsidiaries:	Does not apply.
Countries / regions of operation of the private sector entity:	Madrid, Spain; Washington D.C, USA; Adelaide, Australia
Date of assessment:	November 2020
Annual turnover in US\$:	No available information
Number of employees:	493 employees and over 30,000 global experts worldwide, including 300 technical leaders.
Information sources:	Online Research (https://dt-global.com/legal/policies)
Credibility of the information:	Most of the information was gathered from the official web page and media outlets.
Relationships between UNDP staff and the private sector entity	Does not apply.
Comments:	Does not apply.

UN partner			
Does UNDP or any other member of the UN family currently have, or has it previously had, any relationship with the private sector entity?			
☐ If yes , please briefly explain in the comment box the nature of the relationship with the private sector entity.	No previous partnership.		
Comments:			
Does not apply.			

Level of due diligence

The risk assessment needs to be completed for any potential partnership with a private sector entity. If the private sector entity is a general participant in a UNDP event, no risk assessment needs to be done. For major event panelists it is recommended that the exclusionary criteria are checked. For any other type of engagement, the due diligence needs to be done as defined in the "Level of Due Diligence" matrix (either limited or full due diligence).

The level of due diligence applied will be influenced by the type of partnership and the sector of activity. Please refer to the *Policy on Due Diligence and Partnerships with the Private Sector (2013)* for an overview of the different types of partnership and details about the high-risk sectors mentioned in the footnote. For a detailed description about cases when the risk assessment needs to be done, please refer to the Guideline.

Select the appropriate level of due diligence to be applied from the table below (several types of partnership may apply, select all that are applicable).

NB: Note that for private sector partnerships that involve project funding, the project must <u>also</u> be reviewed to ensure compliance with UNDP's Social and Environmental Standards, including through UNDP's Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (https://undp.unteamworks.org/ses).

Sector of activity of the private sector entity		
Type of partnership	Low-risk	High-risk

Page 2 of 15 UNDP 2016

Advocacy and policy dialog	☐ Limited due diligence.	☐ Normal due diligence
	⇒ If there are no issues with the exclusionary criteria and significant controversies (Step	⇒ All of the steps in this document must be completed.
	1 and 2) you can move directly to decision (Step 4).	High-risk sectors include the following, select the one/s that apply:
		Oil and gas
		☐ Metals and mining
Resource mobilization / Private sector entity		Utilities
providing financial resources to UNDP to implement		☐ Large infrastructure
≤ US\$100,000 (total contribution)		Agriculture and fishing
Resource mobilization / Private sector entity		☐ Timber, pulp and paper
providing financial resources to UNDP to implement	⇒ All of the steps in this	Alcohol
- >US\$100,000	document must be completed.	Chemicals (incl. pharmaceuticals)
Core business for inclusive market development (programmatic joint initiative)		Clothing, toys and consumer electronics
Innovations (e.g. pro-bono provision of technology		Fast food, high sugar drinks and soda
to support a UNDP project)		A full list can be found in the policy.
Transformational partnerships (broader collective partnerships)		
Description of the planned collaboration:		
The partnership aims to provide UNDP financial result will be published on 2021.	ources for elaboration of the National	Human Development Report which
Comments: Does not apply.		

Step 1: Assess the Private sector entity against UNDP Exclusionary Criteria

1.1 Exclusionary criteria

UNDP has defined a set of exclusionary criteria outlining those business practices considered unacceptable to the organization. The Policy on Due Diligence and Partnerships with the Private Sector (2013) defines the threshold limits when UNDP cannot engage with private sector entities involved in the below mentioned exclusionary criteria. The thresholds have also been defined for parent companies, subsidiaries and supply chain, remember to also check any potential issues with them. If any evidence is found, the issue needs to be compared with the exclusionary criteria matrix and defined whether the evidence is within or above the exclusionary criteria threshold.

Manufacture, sale or distribution of controversial weapons or their components, including cluster bombs, anti-personnel mines, biological or chemical weapons or nuclear weapons. ²	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence ³ ☐ Not known
Manufacture, sale or distribution of armaments and/or weapons or their components, including military supplies and equipment.	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known
Replica weapons marketed to children.	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known
Manufacture, sale or distribution of tobacco or tobacco products.	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known
Violations of UN sanctions and the relevant conventions, treaties, and resolutions, and inclusion in UN ineligibility lists or UNDP vendor sanctions list.	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known
Involvement in the manufacture, sale and distribution of pornography.	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known
Manufacture, sale or distribution of substances subject to international bans or phase-outs ⁴ , and wildlife or products regulated under the CITES ⁵	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known
Gambling including casinos, betting etc. (excluding lotteries with charitable objectives).	⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold ☐ Evidence within threshold ☐ Not known

Page 4 of 15 UNDP 2016

² The Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons, ICRC, Ethical Investment Research Services and Ethix Sri Advisors Guidance to institutional investors

also covers non-detectable fragments, blinding laser weapons, incendiary weapons, booby traps and depleted uranium ammunition.

³ There is no threshold option as UNDP will not, under any circumstances, partner with a private sector entity that has activities related to controversial weapons.

⁴ Initiatives and activities with private sector entities falling under the auspices of the Montreal Protocol; and the Stockholm and Minamata Conventions on Ozone depleting substances, POPs and Mercury respectively, will not be covered under this policy but under their specific guidelines.

⁵ CITES (the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora) is an international agreement between governments. Its aim is to ensure that international trade in specimens of wild animals and plants does not threaten their survival. http://www.cites.org

Violation of human rights or complicity in human rights violations.			⊠ No (evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold
					☐ Evidence within threshold
					☐ Not known
Use or toleration of forced or o	compulsory labor.		⊠ No (evidence	☐ Evidence above threshold
					☐ Evidence within threshold
					☐ Not known
Use or toleration of child labor.			⊠ No evidence		☐ Evidence above threshold
					☐ Evidence within threshold
					☐ Not known
Comments: [Comments]					
Conclusion					
	☐ ● All "No evidence" boxes ticked and "Normal due diligence" selected above:	One or more "Evidence within threshold" or "Not k boxes ticked / Excep		criteria al	exclusionary pove threshold, or Not known" ked:
⇒ Continue with the risk assessment.	⇒ Continue with the risk assessment.	⇒ Explain in the combox why a partnerstill worth pursuing	ship is		n from engaging
		⇒ The complete risk assessment tool including your conclusions must escalated to HQ a finalization.			
Comments: Does not apply.					
·					

Step 2: Research Potential Controversies

2.1 Potential Controversies

Potential partner private sector entities may be exposed to controversies or there may be factors that can cause reputational risks to UNDP. Annex 2 of the *Risk Assessment Tool Guidelines* contains guidance on how to gather information.

List below any controversies and possible reputational risks that are not already covered in step 1.1., i.e. controversies not directly related to exclusionary criteria. Use the comment box to provide details of the criticism. Controversies and reputational risks may relate to issues such as:

opulational moternay rotate to located data.		
Labor	Governance	

Discrimination at work ⁶ Freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining Occupational health and safety Poor employment conditions Communities Community health and safety Impact on livelihoods Local participation	Corruption Fraud Tax evasion Product-related Product safety Controversial proconflict minerals	oducts or services, e	e.g. use of
Social discriminationIndigenous peoples	 Marketing of breast milk substitutes contrary to the WHO's International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes.⁷ 		
Environment	Ownership or managem	ent	
 Pollution (including climate change) Impact on ecosystems and landscapes Overuse of resources Waste management Mistreatment of animals 	Controversies related to the individuals owning or managing the private sector entity		
Significant criticism from local or global NGOs / media/soc significant partners of UNDP (including CSO advisory compeople etc.) locally or globally		⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence
Significant criticism from governmental agencies / political parties that makes UNDP participation politically sensitive		⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence
Recurring local public events against the private sector entity (e.g. local demonstrations)		⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence ☐ Not known
Global public events (e.g. significant demonstrations at several locations, significant online protests)		⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence
Relevant legal case in progress/in court etc.		⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence
Other (specify):		⊠ No evidence	☐ Evidence
Comments: Does not apply.			

Page 6 of 15 UNDP 2016

⁶ This also includes assessing potential partner's commitment to gender equity. For example, do they have family friendly policies, equal wages for equal jobs, work-life balance policies, etc.

⁷ The WHO's International Code of Marketing of Breast-Milk Substitutes is available at WHO website

Conclusion			
 All "No evidence" boxes ticked 'Normal due diligence' - Continue with the risk assessment. 'Limited due diligence' - If there was no evidence of exclusionary criteria and no evidence of significant 	☐ One or more "Evidence" or "Not known" boxes ticked ⇒ Research publicly available sources (e.g. search for public statements from the private sector entity), or contact the private sector entity to assess how it		
controversies you may skip Step 3 and move directly to the Step 4 "Make a decision". The decision can be taken locally.	addresses the identified significant controversies. List these elements in the comment box below. ⇒ Continue with the risk assessment. Consult with HQ to determine escalation of the decision to HQ after risk		
Comments: Does not apply.	assessment finalization.		

Step 3: Assess the Private sector entity's Commitment to ESG and the Partnership Risks and Benefits

3 a) Private sector entity's engagements ⁸		
Is the private sector entity a participant in the UN Global Compact?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
If "yes" to the question above: a) Is the private sector entity actively communicating its progress and level of reporting? See: General Communication on Progress (COP)	☐ Yes	☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have any sustainability-related certifications or reporting (e.g. ISO14001, SA8000, AA1000, OHSAS 18001, or GRI Principles)? Provide details in the comment box below.	☐ Yes	☐ No ☑ Not known
Is the private sector entity included in any sustainability or ESG-related indices (e.g. FTSE4Good, Dow Jones Sustainability Indexes, etc.), or similar national/regional initiatives? Does the private sector entity abide by any voluntary sustainability or ethical principles or guidelines ⁹ ? List them in the comment box below.	☐ Yes	☐ No ☑ Not known
Comments: DT Global IDEV Europe S.L. is not included within the participants of the UN Global Compact, but before they changed their name, they were included as AECOM.		

Page 7 of 15 UNDP 2016

⁸ The private sector entity does not have to have a positive answer to all these questions, especially SMEs and even larger domestically oriented private sector entities may not be engaged in these initiatives.

⁹ Examples of voluntary sustainability or ethical principles include: e.g. Principles for Responsible Investment, Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative, Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights, Equator Principles.

3 b) Private sector entity's commitment to ESG issues ¹⁰		
Human rights:		
Does the private sector entity have a policy and a monitoring system that seeks to prevent or mitigate adverse human rights impacts, especially on the local communities, that are directly linked to its operations? 11	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the policy and a monitoring system cover occupational health and safety issues , ensuring that workers are afforded safe, suitable and sanitary working conditions? ¹²	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the policy and monitoring system cover land or property issues, i.e. does the private sector entity ensure that all affected owners and users of the land or property used by the private sector entity have been adequately consulted and compensated ?		☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the policy and monitoring system cover the rights of indigenous peoples , and in particular the principles of self-determination and self-governance, the right to lands and natural resources, including issues of resettlement, and the right to free, prior and informed consent?	☐ Yes☐ Not applicable	☐ No ☑ Not known
Does the policy and monitoring system cover the private sector entity's security arrangements , i.e. whether or not they comply with international human rights principles for law enforcement and the use of force (e.g. have security personnel received adequate human rights training)? ¹³		☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have an appropriate dispute resolution mechanism that is in line with the human rights norms and principles?	☑ Yes☐ Not applicable	☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have a policy and a monitoring system that seeks to prevent or mitigate adverse human rights impacts that are directly linked to products and services by its business relationships (business partners, entities in its value chain, other non-State or State entities)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
Labor:		
Does the private sector entity have a policy and a monitoring system to ensure fair labor practices ¹⁴ at its operations?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the policy and monitoring system adequately ensure equal opportunity to all employees and applicants regardless of ethnic origin, color, age, gender, sexual orientation, religion, marital status?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have a policy and a monitoring system that seeks to promote fair labor practices in its interactions with suppliers and business partners ?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the policy and monitoring system ensure freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☑ Not known

Page 8 of 15 UNDP 2016

¹⁰ The private sector entity does not have to have a positive answer to all these questions, especially SMEs and even larger domestically oriented private sector entities may not have all the different policies and systems in place.

¹¹ An example of a <u>framework specifically designed for human rights</u>

¹² Useful resources can be found at <u>ILO website</u>

¹³ Useful resources can be found at Global Compact website

¹⁴ International Labor Standards provide a framework for fair labor practices. The fundamental conventions include: Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948; Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949; Forced Labour Convention, 1930; Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No. 138); Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999; Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951; and Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958. (ILO)

Does the policy and monitoring system e compulsory labor?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known	
Does the policy and monitoring system e	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known	
Environment:		'	
Does the private sector entity have a poli environmental damage at its operations			☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have a poli to working with suppliers to improve envi responsibility down the supply chain ?	icy and a monitoring system which it applies ronmental performance, extending		☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have a poli emissions (emissions to air, waste and e			☐ No ☐ Not known
Does the private sector entity have a poli natural resources are used in a sustaina	cy and a monitoring system to ensure that able manner?	☐ Yes ☐ Not applicable	☐ No ☑ Not known
Does the private sector entity take action	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ Not known	
Does the private sector entity prevent, m biodiversity?	☐ Yes ☐ Not applicable	☐ No ☐ Not known	
Does the private sector entity have emer address industrial accidents affecting the effectively?		☐ No ☐ Not known	
Good governance:			
Does the private sector entity have a poli will not engage in corruption at any time a suppliers, intermediaries, governments a		⊠ Yes	☐ No
Comments: Does not apply.			
Conclusion			
	to ESG appropriate in relation to its exposulinationals. For smaller and domestically ories		
⊠ ● Yes	☐ ● No or not known / exception	🗌 🛑 No or not kno	wn
⇒ Continue risk assessment.	⇒ Explain in the comment box below why you believe that the private sector entity is willing and able to address significant gaps.	⇒ Refrain from engaging.	
	\Rightarrow Continue risk assessment.		
Comments: Does not apply.			

Page 9 of 15 UNDP 2016

3 c) Partnership Risks		
UNDP must maintain impartiality and accountability to all of its private and public stak private sector must therefore allow UNDP to remain unbiased, while supporting its over agreed partnership must not in any way compromise the integrity and independence of	erall goals and objec	tives. Similarly, the
Evaluate market risks and select applicable statements below:	I	I
Impartiality		☐ Incorrect
UNDP will not – and will not be perceived to – give any unfair advantage to one or more businesses within an industry, sector or market, neither is it perceived to have endorsed a particular business, product or service.		☐ Not known
No market distortion	□ Correct	☐ Incorrect
The partnership will not have negative unintended consequences by distorting a market by giving one business or group of businesses an unfair advantage and/or by crowding out other economic actors.		☐ Not known
Non-exclusivity	□ Correct	☐ Incorrect
UNDP will not enter in an exclusive relationship with a private sector entity that would exclude UNDP from working with another private sector entity from the same sector.		☐ Not known
Reasonable benefit		☐ Incorrect
The benefit to the private sector entity from the collaboration will not be disproportionately high compared to the public benefits or benefits to UNDP.		☐ Not known
Non-dependency in procurement / No conflict of interest		☐ Incorrect
The private sector entity has been informed that partnering with UNDP will not provide preferential treatment in procurement process.		☐ Not known
Political Risks ¹⁵		☐ Incorrect
It is unlikely that any potential political risks would arise during the partnership.		☐ Not known
Comments: Does not apply.		
3 d) Partnership Benefits		
The balance between expected risks and expected benefits must be in line with the risk generally be willing to bear higher risks if the benefits of the partnership clearly outwein		P. UNDP may
Select applicable statements below:	I	I <u></u>
There are significant potential gains in terms of achieving one or more of UNDP's strategic priorities within the UNDP Strategic Plan and Private Sector Strategy.	⊠ Correct	☐ Incorrect ☐ Not known
The private sector entity is among the most suitable partners available in the country context.	⊠ Correct	☐ Incorrect☐ Not known
There is considerable potential for long-term engagement with the private sector entity, in which resources are contributed on a significant scale, and there may be a significant outcome in terms of human development.	⊠ Correct	☐ Incorrect☐ Not known

Page 10 of 15 UNDP 2016

¹⁵ Political risks include the consequences and likelihood of changes in government. Special attention should be given to countries under United Nations sanctions. Political risks also include the risk of having the government withdraw support for the partnership or UNDP engaging in close partnership with an actor that is seen as business arm of the political elite.

The partnership is likely to create immed that are facing high rates of poverty and	⊠ Correct	☐ Incorrect				
The partnership will create wider awaren causes from positive exposure and publi		☐ Incorrect ☐ Not known				
The partnership allows access to new in		☐ Incorrect				
Other (specify): Does not apply.	☐ Correct	☐ Incorrect				
Comments: Does not apply.						
Conclusion						
 All "Correct" boxes ticked under Market risks and Political risks. The risk-benefit analysis indicates that the partnership is worth pursuing. ⇒ Go to step 4 "Make a decision". □ One or more "Incorrect" or "Not known" boxes ticked under step But they are clearly outweighed by the "Yes" boxes ticked under step Benefits. The risk-benefit analysis indicates that the partnership is worth pursuing. ⇒ Record your reasoning in the comment box below. ⇒ Go to step 4 "Make a decision". 			s ticked under nd Political risks Ifficiently efits.			
Comments: Does not apply.						
Step 4: Make a Decision						

Based on the information collected in this template, make a suggestion as to whether or not UNDP should engage in the partnership. Some partnerships may be considered worth pursuing given certain conditions. These conditions should be defined at this stage, and should be communicated to and accepted by the private sector entity. Use the box below to set out your proposal as to whether or not UNDP should engage in the partnership, including the conditions, if applicable. Remember that it is essential that there is separation between the staff who are directly involved in developing the relationship and making a recommendation as to whether or not to proceed, and the staff who make the final decision.

Suggested decision by the initiating unit
☑ If all ●: approve ☑ without or ☐ with conditions (see below).
☐ If one or more ☐: escalate the Risk Assessment Tool, including your conclusions, to HQ.
☐ If one or more ●: refrain from engaging.
Conditions: None

Page 11 of 15 UNDP 2016

For cases that do not require escalation: decision by the RC/RR for COs, or the Regional Director for Regional Bureaus, or designated persons with the proper authority (please specify).						
Refrain from engaging.						
Person designated to make the decision: Richard Barathe Resident Representative Resident Representative						
Rationale for the decision: The European Union in Honduras confirmed that their financial contribution for the elaboration of the 2021 National Human Development Report (NHDR) will be effective through their implementing partner, DT Global IDEV Europe S.L., an international private sector development company, therefore a partnership with them is necessary to receive their financial contribution; thus, according to the outcome of this detailed risk assessment, there is no inconvenience to proceed.						
Conditions: None.						
In escalated cases, decision by HQ						
☐ Approve ☐ without or ☐ with conditions (see below).						
☐ Refrain from engaging.						
Rationale for the decision: Not applicable.						
Conditions: Not applicable.						
Step 5: Risk Log, Monitoring Plan and Communication						
Materials						
The Project Manager should also document the risks that have been identified into a risk log (sample here). If the partnership s part of a project that already has a risk log, these risks can be added into the existing mechanism. In low-risk and short term partnerships the project manager may decide that risk log is not needed.						
Has a risk log been done?						
⊠ Yes □ No						
⇒ Attach the risk log to this document or explain in the comment box below why a risk log has not been done. ⇒ Explain in the comment box below why a risk log has not been done.						

The Project Manager should regularly scan publicly available information and informal intelligence systems for new controversies surrounding the private sector entity or its industry. Any significant issues that might cause potential damage should be flagged to HQ, and should be recorded in the Private Database. Similarly, the Project Manager should regularly assess whether or not the private sector entity is meeting the conditions (if any) defined in step 4 above. Especially if there are specific conditions set for the partnership it is recommended to prepare a monitoring plan.

The Project Manager can be supported in the monitoring activities by the Regional Bureau or HQ, especially in difficult cases.

Page 12 of 15 UNDP 2016

Has a monitoring plan been defined?					
☐ Yes	⊠ No				
⇒ Attach the monitoring plan to this document or explain in the comment box below where it can be found.	⇒ Explain in the comment box below why a monitoring plan has not been defined.				
We have included as part of our weekly research to be focused	d on news regarding DT Global.				

The Project Manager is in charge of drawing up the needed communications materials that cover the basic details about the partnership and possibly address the main risks identified during the risk assessment process. For partnerships that do not involve significant risks, the Project Manager may decide that there is no need for communications materials.

Have the needed communications materials been drawn u	p?
 ☐ Yes ⇒ Attach the communications materials to this document or explain in the comment box below where they can be 	No⇒ Explain in the comment box below why communications materials have not been drawn up.
found. Decision has been made not to create communications material included as part of the risk log.	als since a communications material (press release) is

Page 13 of 15 UNDP 2016



#	Description	Date Identified	Туре	Impact & Probability	Countermeasures / Mngt response	Owner	Submitted, updated by	Last Update	Status
	Enter a brief description of the risk	When was the risk first identified	Environmental Financial Operational Organizational Political Regulatory Strategic Other Subcategories for each risk type should be consulted to understand each risk type	Describe the potential effect if this risk were to occur Enter probability on a scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high) P = 5 Enter impact on a scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high) I = 5	What actions have been taken/will be taken to manage this risk	Who has been appointed to keep an eye on this risk	Who submitted the risk	When was the status of the risk last checked	e.g. dead, reducing, increasing, no change, other
				The "P x I" scores help to prioritize the risks (higher the score, higher the priority)					
1	DT Global IDEV Europe S.L., por ser una empresa	Noviembre 2020	Reputacional	5 x 5 = 25 (P x I)	Monitoreo de medios al respecto.	Cinthya Barahona, Oficial de	Sergio A. Membreño Cedillo,	Noviembre 2020	

involucrada en		 En caso, se haga 	Comunicaci	Coordinador	
diversos tipos		la mención del	ones.	del Proyecto	
de proyectos, en		PNUD o a su			
diferentes		relación con DT			
sectores a nivel		Global IDEV			
mundial y con		Europe S.L., se			
gran cantidad de		procederá a			
socios		emitir un			
implementadore		comunicado de			
s, habría una		prensa. El mensaje central			
posibilidad de		sería:			
verse					
involucrada en asuntos de desprestigio.		Pasó los filtros de investigación en base a información disponible y hecha oficial por DT Global IDEV Europe S.L.			

Page 15 of 15 UNDP 2016